Case Report

Use of sonography in assessing elbow medial collateral ligament injury after arm wrestling
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Abstract

Medial collateral ligament injury is an infrequent occurrence in arm wrestlers. We report here a male patient who injured his left elbow while arm wrestling. Ultrasonography showed a medial collateral ligament tear. We assessed both elbows using ultrasonography at his 3-year follow-up visit. Dynamic ultrasonography showed a decreased gap at the ulnotrochlear joint space in his left elbow. A medial collateral ligament tear in his right elbow was also evident using ultrasonography. This case report shows the advantages of ultrasonography, especially dynamic ultrasonography, in the evaluation of elbow injury. Ultrasonography provides more information than valgus stress radiography, arthrography, and magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of medial collateral ligament injury. In addition, the contralateral side can readily be assessed for comparison during ultrasonography.
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1. Introduction

Baseball pitching is the most common cause of medial collateral ligament (MCL) injury, which is caused by repetitive stress during throwing. The condition occurs less frequently in other athletes such as javelin throwers, handball players, arm wrestlers, and tennis players.1–3 We report here the case of an arm wrestler with an MCL injury diagnosed using dynamic ultrasonography (US).

2. Case report

A 58-year-old man injured his left elbow while arm wrestling. He heard a popping sound, which was followed by the development of local redness, swelling, heat, and pain. The day after his injury, he presented at our orthopedic department and was referred for an ultrasound scan of his elbow. Ultrasonic examination was performed using L12-5 linear transducers of 5–12 MHz frequency (Philips-ATL IU22; Bothell, WA, USA) and 14L5 linear transducers of 5–14 MHz frequency (Siemens S2000; Mountain View, CA, USA). Ultrasonography at rest showed widening of the ulnotrochlear joint space (Fig. 1A). Dynamic US showed a defect in the left MCL with surrounding fat herniation into the joint space. During a valgus stress test with an abduction force of the left elbow, the joint space was increased to 6.3 mm. Some echogenic fat (heterogeneous hyperechogenicity) herniation into the left elbow joint was also noted with US (Fig. 1B).

Follow-up dynamic US with valgus stress to assess the elbow was carried out 3 years after the injury (Fig. 2A and B); some granulation tissue (heterogeneous hyperechogenicity in the previous MCL tear) was noted in the MCL region, but the laxity of the left elbow persisted. The left ulnotrochlear joint space was 4 mm in width. In contrast, the right elbow showed...
an ulnotrochlear joint space 2 mm in width, with joint space gapping of 2.8 mm. The right joint space increased under valgus stress to 4.8 mm in width, which was less than that of the left elbow. The joint space gapping was increased under valgus stress to a joint space of 5.5 mm and a tear of the MCL of the right elbow was also considered.

Our patient received conservative treatment, including local cooling or heating and rest, as suggested by other workers. Our follow-up physical examination and US 3 years after the injury showed that the patient’s muscle power and activities of daily life were very well preserved, returning to normal status following wound healing and training of the muscles around the MCL for compensation. However, laxity of the elbow persisted. An MCL tear was still diagnosed, but healing had occurred with less joint space gapping after valgus stress.

3. Discussion

In human anatomy, the elbow is more supported by ligaments on the medial side than on the lateral side. It is therefore easier to experience valgus stress during many activities, which may result in MCL injury.

The MCL is the major ligament involved in elbow joint stability. It has two functional components, namely, the anterior oblique and the posterior oblique ligaments; the former is the mainstay of elbow joint stability. Based on the location...
(the anterior oblique component inserting onto the medial coronoid process of ulnar bone and originating from the inferior aspect of the medial epicondyle of the humerus), our patient showed an injury of the anterior oblique component of the MCL, resulting in laxity of the left elbow. Symptoms of MCL injury include local redness, swelling, heat, and pain, with or without a popping sound when the injury occurs. Physical examination of our patient showed signs of inflammation, including local redness, swelling, heat, and pain, as well as laxity of the affected elbow.

Ultrasoundography is a useful imaging modality to evaluate elbows with possible MCL injury, as it may show the torn component of the MCL. Moreover, dynamic US with valgus stress may uniquely demonstrate medial joint instability, as observed in our patient. Dynamic US of the elbow can be used to assess medial elbow laxity by quantifying the medial elbow joint space under valgus stress. Elbow valgus stress radiography has been used in the diagnosis of laxity of the medial elbow structures. Rijke et al reported that stress radiography could detect ulnohumeral joint gapping under valgus stress (using a Telos GA-IIIE stress device for the application of a valgus force of 15 daN to the lateral aspect of the elbow joint). Ultrasonography, which is noninvasive, uses nonionizing radiation, is easily available, and is portable, is a good alternative modality for surveying laxity of the elbow. The width of the ulnotrochlear joint space can be determined by measuring the distance between the distal-medial corner of the trochlear portion and the proximal edge of the medial tubercular portion of the coronoid process. Our patient initially presented with an MCL tear in the left elbow and, at the 3-year follow-up ultrasound examination, an MCL tear in the right elbow was also suspected. Interestingly, resting US did not show signs of an MCL tear, whereas the tear was evident with a dynamic US study. Dynamic US is therefore indispensable in the evaluation of elbow injuries.

As a result of advanced technologies and state-of-the-art equipment, US can offer dynamically focused higher resolution real-time imaging of soft tissues, including skin, subcutaneous tissues, nerves, blood vessels, tendons, and ligaments. Advantages include its safety (nonionizing radiation), accessibility, speed, comfort, and cost-effectiveness. Another unique advantage of US is its use in dynamic applications. In addition, it is easy to assess the contralateral side for comparison using US.

Because the anterior oblique component of the MCL in the elbow is the mainstay of joint stability, assessment of the anterior oblique component is particularly important in patients with a possible MCL injury. Both arthrography and US may be useful for the diagnosis of MCL injury, as both these techniques can be used to assess the deep capsular layer of the anterior bundle of the MCL. However, arthrography is somewhat invasive, as it requires puncture and the injection of contrast into the joint space. High-resolution US, however, provides a fast and noninvasive assessment of the MCL.

The two best modalities for the assessment of soft tissues are magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and US. Kijowski and De Smet reported that the most obvious advantage of MRI is its usefulness for the assessment of all tissues, including joints, bones, and soft tissues, in multiple planes during one examination. The disadvantages of MRI are its longer examination time and higher cost; furthermore, MRI is contraindicated in some patients, including those with implanted devices and claustrophobia. The advantages of US are its higher resolution of superficial structures such as tendons, vessels, and nerves and its dynamic application for the assessment of, for example, intermittent muscle or fascial herniation and intermittent tendon or nerve subluxation. Its main disadvantages are its inability to assess deep soft tissues and bones, as well as variable operator-dependent characteristics.

In conclusion, US is a highly useful imaging modality for the assessment of elbow MCL injury. Dynamic US can be used to assess certain conditions such as intermittent fascial or muscle herniation, and is an indispensable technique in the evaluation of elbow injury.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to Ms Chih-Ping Chiu, Ms Ting-Yu Pan, and Ms Shao-Ling Huang for their excellent clerical assistance.

References