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DEAR EDITOR,

We thank Dr. Ke-Chia Sun for the valuable comments and questions on our study named “Does ischemia reperfusion affect fecundability in a rat model?” published in Journal of the Chinese Medical Association in June 2019. The author comments are discussed below.

For the first query, as fecundability refers the probability of achieving a pregnancy within a menstrual cycle, we used beta human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) test for detecting the pregnancy rate. In that context, if we had waited until the stage of achieving a live birth, then we would likely miss some miscarriages of that cycle and that would lead miscalculation of fecundability rate. Each group had 10 rats, so there were not two rats in the 8-hour group. Since there were few rats that had conceived, we preferred to use Fisher’s exact test instead of χ² test.

Regarding the second query, as mentioned earlier, our primary aim was to evaluate the fecundability rate but not the live birth rate or obstetric outcome at all. Hence, we did not prefer to wait to complete the reproductive cycle. However, we strongly agree that it might be also valuable to observe the effect of ovarian torsion and related reperfusion injury on offspring with regard to the number and also their birth weight/size. In that context, we planned another study specifically to compare weight and body sizes of offspring achieved from sham and torsion groups.

We hope these explanations will suffice the readers’ and the authors’ expectations. Thanks you for your interest again.
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